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Abstract—The focus of this research work was to carry out a survey of copyright infringement among students of the Federal Polytechnic Ilaro and Federal College of Education, Abeokuta. Research findings from previous empirical studies attested to the fact that copyright infringement was rampant but there were no enough survey on it. Moreover, the focus of other studies was on universities with little or no attention given to the Polytechnics and Colleges of Education. Two research questions were raised to give direction to the study. The theoretical framework for this study was Knowledge Gap theory. Survey method was used for the study. A total number of 400 copies of questionnaire were administered and 392 copies were recovered and valid for the research. A stratified sampling technique was used. Findings show that the awareness of the provisions of copyright laws and plagiarism was not satisfactory. However, a higher knowledge of copyright infringement existed among the students of the Federal Polytechnic Ilaro than their counterparts in the Federal College of Education, Abeokuta. Furthermore, lack of research skills, pressure to meet deadlines for assignments and projects and laziness on the part of students were responsible for plagiarism among students. In view of the findings, it was recommended that the Federal Government through her agency (Federal Ministry Education) should develop strict rules for punishment on copyright infringement in its various forms and a need to employ plagiarism detention software in our schools.

Index Terms—Copyright Infringement, plagiarism.

I. INTRODUCTION

Highlight In any given society, laws are given as a means of regulating the activities and behaviors of individuals. To this end, the security of lives and property is ensured leading to peace and order. It is in such a situation that progress and development is experienced at all sides. One of such laws is the copyright Act that makes provision for the definition, protection, transfer, infringement and remedy, and penalty thereof of literary works, musical artistic works, cinematograph films, sound recordings, broadcast, and other ancillaries. Copyright aims at protecting the result of intellectual creativity and stimulates the creation of intellectual works of scholars. According to Cornish (2004), cited by Aboyade, Aboyade and Ajala (2015 p.463), copyright springs from the fact that anything that is created is an extension of “self” and in that case it must be protected from general use by any one. That is, such creation should be protected from being used anyhow by any one for selfish gain. To him, copyright encourages the growth of writing, performing and creating of artistic works. In the absence of copyright there will be little or no incentive for people to create anything. Some people will use creations of other people to enrich themselves illegally.

Aboyade et al., (2015) note that students and teachers need vital information to excel in their academic pursuits. They need information to improve their social, economic and political experiences. By extension, education promotes both economic and technological development of any nation. Ideas and insights for achieving these are contained in the works of people which can be tapped to achieve that result. This is because a nation that is educated will develop and a nation that is developed will have opportunity to educate her citizens.

In Nigeria to be precise, there are many cases of copyright infringement that manifest in form of unauthorized reprography, plagiarism, and piracy. These have been causing headache for those in the academia, publishers, book sellers, and other stakeholders in the book industry. This is because book industry thrives majorly on copyright which is a protective arm of the law for creative people. It gives them certain exclusive rights to their works. In the absence of copyright, it may be difficult for publishers and authors to break even in business and still remain in such business because book publishing is about publishing good books at a profit. Ihebuzor(2013) submits in support of this assertion that, no matter how good the mission of a book publisher is, if he does not make profit, he will only last for a while before folding up.

Moreover, there are cases and reports of plagiarism among students of tertiary institutions in Nigeria. However, it is not new in the academia. There were documented cases of plagiarism in the scientific community of over 200 years (Hansen, 2002 cited in Bilic-Zulle ,Frkovic,Azman and Pretroveck, 2005). But it is fast becoming a worrisome issue with evidences from personal observations and from literatures available. Plagiarism is a plague that is ravaging our educational system at all levels and it is not peculiar to us in Nigeria alone but the world over. Onuoha and Ikonne,(2013) in a study on the awareness and incidence of plagiarism among undergraduates in a Nigerian private university discovered that (82% ) of the respondents bought term papers from paper mill often, (46%) admitted copying.
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from a colleague’s assignment without his knowledge or permission, while (4.7%) admitted copying from colleague’s assignment with his/her knowledge, (69.2%) of the respondents admitted copying and pasting portions of text from the internet, (65.7%) often copied verbatim from a textbook or journals without using a quotation mark, (58.5%) often include references they did not use in their work and (46.7%) often submitted assignments without references. Plagiarism is dangerous to academic health and ignoring this may be educationally counter-productive. This may allow students to continue to see it as a cheaper way to academic excellence. It is important to know that dishonest students may end up being dishonest in whatever chosen field they find themselves. Onuoha and Ikonne (2013) and Maina, Maina and Jauro (2014) stress that there are no enough survey of plagiarism in the Nigerian academia but there are reports of its rampanty in the system. To corroborate this, Orim, Davies, Borg and Glendinning (2013) find out in a research about some Nigerian postgraduate students in UK that they lack understanding and awareness of plagiarism from their previous universities in Nigeria. Orim, Borg and Awala-Ale (2013) revealed that in the developed countries, there has been much research into academic integrity with focus on plagiarism in the last ten years but little research has been done in the developing

II. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Copyright law is based on the assumption that authors of creative works are likely to be encouraged to embark on continuous production of intellectual products if there is a guarantee that they are not laboring for others to eat. Just as anybody wants to protect anything he possesses, creators of intellectual properties want to protect their works. Works belonging to other people should therefore be respected and not be used without permission if the work is copyrighted. In a nutshell, copyright governs the use of other people’s work from illegal reproduction and distribution through photocopying and other means reproduction. Also, copyrighted materials are not allowed to be plagiarized. That is, proper attribution should be given to used sources and materials. An attempt in passing off of other author’s ideas, words, or works as one’s own is cheating that violates academic integrity. Copyright is contingent upon this fact that we are entitled to the fruits of our labour. It is the ownership of intellectual property with exclusive rights to our works. Inability to observe such on the use of other people’s materials constitutes copyright infringement. However, the knowledge of what copyright is and what constitute copyright infringement is in doubt among undergraduate students in Nigeria. Research findings from previous empirical studies attested to the fact that awareness, perception, and reasons for photocopy of copyrighted materials are essential for the maintenance of academic repute. Onuoha and Ikonne (2013) and Maina, Maina and Jauro (2014) stress that there is no enough survey of plagiarism in the Nigerian academia but there are reports of its rampanty in the system. Moreover, majority of the available studies on copyright infringement did focus on universities and no polytechnic or college of education was included. Hence, this study is motivated to fill a gap in investigation of copyright infringement among students of polytechnics and colleges of education in Nigeria. In view of this therefore, this study investigated copyright infringement through photocopying and plagiarism among students.

III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The general objective of this study is to determine the prevalence of copyright infringement through photocopy and plagiarism among students. More specifically, the objectives of the study are:

(a) To find out if students of the Federal Polytechnic, Ilaro and Federal College of Education, Abeokuta are aware of the existence of copyright laws and what constitutes plagiarism?
(b) To investigate if there is difference in the awareness of copyright law and plagiarism among students of Federal Polytechnic Ilaro and Federal College of Education, Abeokuta.

Research Questions

The following research questions were raised to guide this study.

1) Are the students of Federal Polytechnic and Federal College of Education aware of the existence of copyright laws and plagiarism?
2) What is the difference in the awareness of the existence of copyright laws and plagiarism among the students of Federal Polytechnic Ilaro and Federal College of Education Abeokuta?

Empirical Review and Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework for this study is Knowledge Gap Theory. Theory maintains that as media output grows in a given society, so will be the knowledge gap between the privileged and under privilege social group. Awareness of copyright law by some students may be due to the fact that they are information rich while those with no information may be due to the fact they are information poor. By application, it means that the information poor students and underprivileged may have little or no access to information on copyright and what constitute infringement, they are less aware of issues around the world and they may not know how it affects them. While those privileged students may have more access to copyright laws and what constitute copyright infringement as it relates to plagiarism. The review of literature for this study is carried out under the following identified variables in the study:

- Review 1: Copyright Laws

Evidences from previous research works show that the level of awareness of the majority of students is very low and some are not aware of Nigerian Copyright laws at all (Igbenede, 2009 and Aboyaide et al., 2015). In contrast to this finding Omoba and Omoba (2009) found out that users of information resources and undergraduate students have knowledge of copy right laws and they also agree to their conditions. This means that students who are part of the users of information resources such as textbooks, journal, and periodicals are aware of the existence of copyright on those materials. To support this finding,
Many research studies have shown that plagiarism as a form of copyright infringement is not peculiar to Nigeria alone but it is world over. Some studies observed that plagiarism is as a result of the advent of internet and information technology which makes it easy to commit and detect (Bilic-Zulle et al., 2005; Orim et al., 2013 and Maina et al., 2014). In their observation, Maina et al. (2014) submit that there were no enough reports of academic dishonesty in the northern Nigerian universities. This could have been true of the fact that there are no enough reports on plagiarism in the northern universities but not for the prevalence. It must have been an occurrence that has been overlooked or attention was not paid to it. Several articles have stressed the alarming rate of plagiarism among students in Nigeria simply because of ignorance about plagiarism. Evidences abound of lack of awareness of plagiarism and what constitutes it among students.

Findings from the analysis of awareness and perception of plagiarism among postgraduate students indicates that an average of awareness of plagiarism exists among postgraduate students in Nigerian universities (Idiegbeyan-Ose, Nkiko and Osinlu, 2016). It does mean that many of the postgraduate students did not have prerequisite knowledge of writing skills from their undergraduate level. Postgraduate studies should be more of independent study (Orim et al., 2013). Similarly, an earlier study carried out by Orim, Borg and Awala-Ale (2013) revealed that in the developed countries, there has been much research into academic integrity with focus on plagiarism in the last ten years but little research is been done in the developing world especially Nigeria.

Still on Orim et al. (2013), result of an interview with some participants in a study on students’ experience of institutional intervention on plagiarism: Nigerian case shows that the academic climate and culture of United Kingdom is different to that of Nigeria. Most of the Nigerian students in a particular university in U K who were there for master’s degree were found out neither to know what plagiarism is nor were they concerned about it. It was obvious from the interview for the study that many of the Nigeria students did not possess the knowledge of standard referencing. One of the respondents referred to his experience as “progressive journey backward”. The experience took him back to fundamentals of academic writing of what he supposed to have learnt in the early academic background (Orim et al., 2013). In another study by Vasudevan and Suchithra (2013), they confirmed that a good number of doctoral students are less aware about plagiarism.

IV. METHODOLOGY

The research design adopted was survey. This was adopted as research design for this study because it allows for assessment of certain attributes properties and characteristics of a situation at one or more points in time. It is because a sample of the target population was observed in their natural state to collect information on variables of interest that have to do with copyrights infringement among students. The population of the study consisted of all the students in the Federal Polytechnic Ilaro (6 889 students) and students of the Federal College of Education, Abeokuta. (4 516 students) making a total number of 11 405 students in both schools. The sample of the study would consist of 400 students in the Federal Polytechnic Ilaro and Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta. The sample for the research was calculated by using Taro Yamane (Yamane, 1973) formula with 95% confidence level. The population for the two schools is 22 000 students. The calculation formula of Taro Yamane is presented as follows:

\[ n = \frac{N}{1 + Ne^2} \]

Where:
- \( n \) = sample size required
- \( N \) = number of people in the population
- \( e \) = allowed error (%)

Substituting numbers in formula:

\[ n = \frac{11\,405}{1 + 11\,405(0.05)^2} \]

\[ n = 399.9649307 \]

\[ n = 400 \text{ ( Rounded up) } \]

A stratified sampling technique was used to select participants from different departments and different levels in the two schools. This sample comprised of 185 students from different departments in the Federal College of Education and 215 students from different departments in the National Diploma Level and Higher National Diploma Level in the Federal Polytechnic.

V. DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Sample Profile

A total number of 400 questionnaires were distributed. The sample size was 400 students. The distribution was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Profile</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13
The result presented in the above chart clearly indicates that the students with full knowledge (40%) and partial knowledge (39.5%) of the existence of copyright laws are almost the same while few of them are not aware of the existence of copyright laws at all.

Table 4. Awareness of Plagiarism among Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awareness Level</th>
<th>Frequencies</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fully Aware</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially Aware</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never Aware</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field study, 2017

This data suggests that more students have partial knowledge of plagiarism (40.1%) and (29%) claimed that they have full knowledge of what plagiarism is all about while (23%) of the sample has no knowledge of what plagiarism is all about.

Research Question 2

What is the difference in the level of awareness of copyright laws and plagiarism between students of Federal Polytechnic and Federal College of Education?

Table 6. Level of difference in the awareness of copyright laws between FPI and FCE Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Fully Aware</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Partially Aware</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Never Aware</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FPI</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCE</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td>392</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field study, 2017

This table shows that the level of awareness of copyright laws among students of the Federal Polytechnic is higher with (fully
aware 27%) and (partially aware (22%) as against (fully aware 14.3%) and (partially aware 18.1%) in the Federal College of Education.

Table 7. Level of difference in the awareness plagiarism among students of FPI and FCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Fully Aware</th>
<th>Partially Aware</th>
<th>Never Aware</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FPI</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCE</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>115%</td>
<td>157%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field study, 2017

The data presented here highlights the difference in the knowledge of plagiarism between students of FPI (full knowledge 20% and partial knowledge 25%) while the statistics for FCE students show (full knowledge 9.6% and partial knowledge 16%). FCE recorded more students who are never aware and uncertain about the term plagiarism.

VI. DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Research question one dealt with the awareness of existence of copyright laws and what constitutes plagiarism among students. The available data on the awareness of copyright laws among students suggests that the awareness level is a bit high in relation to few of the students who never have any knowledge of existence copyright laws. However, the students’ knowledge of existence of copyright laws is not satisfactory simply because there is no clear distinction between students who claim full knowledge and partial knowledge of the existence of copyright laws. In some comparable studies, it was revealed that students were aware of the existence of copyright laws (Igbeneghu, 2009; Isiaikpona, 2012; and Vasudevan and Suchithra, 2013). In contrast, Aboyade et al, 2015 and Gado (2016) submit that the level of awareness of majority of students in their researches was very low and some are not aware of the existence of copyright laws at all.

On the knowledge of what constitutes plagiarism, the result of this study reveals that more students have partial knowledge of what constitutes plagiarism while a quarter of the sample for the study was not aware of what plagiarism is all about. This might suggest that Nigerian students have inadequate understanding of plagiarism. This result is similar to some studies carried out by different scholars on the awareness of plagiarism by Nigerian students both at undergraduate and postgraduate levels. It was found out that Nigerian students were deficient in their knowledge of plagiarism (Orim et al, 2013; Maina et al, 2014, Idiegbeanywse et al, 2016 and Okere, et al. 2016). Knowledge gap theory explains and supports the findings of this survey. Awareness of the existence of copyright laws and what constitutes plagiarism may be due to the fact that some students are information rich while others are information poor who have little or no access to needed information as regards copyright provisions and plagiarism.

Research question two gave attention to the difference in the level of awareness of copyright laws and plagiarism between students of Federal Polytechnic Ilaro and Federal College Education Abeokuta. The data reveals that the level of awareness among students of the Federal Polytechnic is higher than that of students in the Federal College of Education. Also the awareness level of what constitute plagiarism among students of the Federal Polytechnic is higher than that of the students of the Federal College of Education. The findings here are evidences of low knowledge of the existence of copyright laws and plagiarism (Gado, 2016 and Okere, et al 2016). This could be taken as a limited evidence for the level of exposure between Polytechnic students and Colleges of Education students. Consequently, this finding emphasizes the knowledge gap theory. Colleges of Education students are less aware of issues around them and this may be due to their socio-economic background which may be poor.

VII. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study is an addition to the body of knowledge in the area of copyright infringement. Earlier studies have confirmed that there is no enough survey of plagiarism but there are reports of its rampancy in the system and mass photocopying of copyrighted books in the Nigerian academia are common place. Moreover, majority of the available studies on copyright infringement did focus on universities and no polytechnic or College of Education was included. In line with the results of this study, it is concluded that the awareness of provision of copyright laws is not satisfactory and the awareness of plagiarism is too low among students of Polytechnics and Colleges of Education in Nigeria. In line with the findings of this study the following recommendations were made:

1. The authorities of different educational institutions especially in polytechnics and colleges of education should as a matter of urgency step up machinery to create serious awareness among students about copyright laws, plagiarism and generally copyright infringement.

2. The Federal Government through her agency (Federal Ministry Education) should develop strict rules for punishment on copyright infringement in its various forms and a need to employ plagiarism detention software in our schools.

REFERENCES

Copyright Infringement among Students of the Federal Polytechnic Ilaro and Federal College of Education, Osiele, Abeokuta


